Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)
Telephone Number Portability) CC Docket No. 95-116
)
Franklin Telephone Company, Inc.)
Inter-Community Telephone Company, LLC)
North Central Telephone Cooperative, Inc.)
Petitions for Waiver)

Comments of TCA

I. Introduction

TCA, Inc. - Telcom Consulting Associates ("TCA") hereby submits these comments in response to the Public Notice issued in the proceedings as captioned above.

TCA fully supports the Petitions of Franklin Telephone Company, Inc., Inter-Community Telephone Company, LLC, and North Central Telephone Cooperative, Inc. (the "Petitioners") in seeking a waiver or extension of the Commission's rules regarding local number portability ("LNP"). Further, TCA respectfully requests that the Commission provide the same relief as sought by the Petitioners to all rural telephone companies, as defined by the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. The current environment of regulatory uncertainty and implementation difficulties described by the Petitioners is one shared by all rural telephone companies.

TCA is a management consulting firm, providing financial, regulatory, and marketing services for over seventy small, rural local exchange carriers ("LECs") throughout the United

1

¹ 47 C.F.R. § 52.23(c)

² 47 U.S.C. §153(37). A rural telephone company is defined by the Act as providing telephone exchange service, including exchange access, to fewer than 50,000 access lines and serving a study area of fewer than 100,000 access lines. *See* 47 U.S.C. §§153(37)(B) and (C).

States. TCA's clients will be directly impacted by the FCC's actions in this proceeding. These comments address the concerns of TCA's clients.

II. The factual circumstances surrounding the LNP requests described by Petitioners are easily applicable to majority of rural carriers.

The Petitioners describe requests for LNP from two wireless carriers, Verizon Wireless and Sprint PCS, which do not reach the standards set by the Commission for a *bona fide* request for LNP.³ Namely, the requests fail to designate a discrete geographic area for which LNP is being sought, and seemingly seek location portability, instead of limiting LNP to service provider portability. TCA's clients, like the Petitioners and, in all probability, most rural local exchange carriers ("RLECs"), have also received requests for LNP from many of the large wireless carriers. Like the Petitioners, the LNP requests received by TCA's clients are often lacking a designation of a specific geographic area. Instead, the complete listing of Metropolitan Statistical Areas and Rural Service Areas ("MSAs" and "RSAs") is attached, leaving it to the RLEC to fathom where LNP is being requested.

In a generic letter dated February 21, 2003 (Attachment A), T-Mobile requested LNP from a TCA client. The one-paragraph letter attached a listing of all MSAs and RSAs, entitled the "Bona Fide Request Form." The letter asked TCA's client to complete the form "where indicated." The form states "[s]pecifically, T-Mobile requests that ALL codes be opened for portability within the Metropolitan Statistical Areas designated below." As the form was otherwise blank, all that may be reasonably assumed, therefore, is that T-Mobile is requesting LNP from TCA's client for *all* MSAs and RSAs in the country.

³ See In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability, Franklin Telephone Company, Inc. Petition for Waiver of Section 52.23(c) of the Commission's Rules, CC Docket No. 95-116, filed September 25, 2003, pp. 4-5 (Franklin Petition), See also In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability, Inter-Community Telephone Company, LLC, Petition for Waiver of Section 52.23(c) of the Commission's Rules, CC Docket No. 95-116, filed September 25, 2003, pp. 3-4 and In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability, North Central Telephone Cooperative, Inc. Petition for Waiver of Section 52.23(c) of the Commission's Rules, CC Docket No. 95-116, filed September 25, 2003, pp. 4-5.

⁴ See Attachment A, p.1.

⁵ Attachment A, p. 2 (emphasis in original).

Like the Petitioners, the LNP requests from wireless carriers received by TCA's clients apparently attempt to gain location portability. By submitting vague LNP requests with no evidence that the ported number(s) will be retained "at the same location" within the assigned rate center, the wireless carriers are attempting an end-run around the Commission in order to gain location portability.

The wireless industry is well aware that the Commission is currently considering the issue of wireline-wireless porting where the wireless carrier does not have a presence in the rate center where the customer is physically located. In a July 3, 2003 letter addressed to both Verizon Wireless and Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association (CTIA), a trade association of the wireless industry, John Muleta, Chief of the Commission's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau stated that this issue remained under consideration by the Commission. Mr. Muleta unequivocally states that the issue of location portability between wireline and wireless carriers is under consideration and "[w]ithout addressing this limited issue on its merits, we emphasize that porting between wireline and wireless carriers is required in *other* cases."

CTIA, on behalf of the wireless industry, continues to urge the Commission to allow this change in regulatory policy. In an *ex parte* presentation to the Commission on October 14, 2003, CTIA urged that "full and competitive intermodal porting [should] occur simultaneously with wireless-wireless porting implementation on November 24, 2003…" As evidence that both service provider and location portability are achievable between a wireline and wireless carrier, CTIA points to a porting agreement between Verizon Communications and Verizon Wireless: "For example, Verizon has signaled that a full portability is technically feasible by signing an intermodal porting agreement with Verizon Wireless." However, that agreement only allows

⁶ 47 U.S.C. §153 (30) (defining number portability).

⁷ See Letter to John T. Scott, III, Vice President & Deputy General Counsel, Verizon Wireless and Michael F. Altschul, Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association, DA 03-2190, CC Docket No. 95-116, dated July 3, 2003, p. 4 ("Muleta letter").

⁸ *Id.* (emphasis added).

⁹ See Letter to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, from Diane Cornell, Vice President for Regulatory Policy, Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association, Ex Parte Presentation, CC Docket No. 95-116, dated October 15, 2003, p. 1 ("CTIA October ex parte").

¹⁰ CTIA October ex parte, p. 2.

Communications will port "landline numbers to a Verizon Wireless cellphone anywhere *both* provide service." While it is difficult to discern the details of this intra-company agreement, it is equally difficult to imagine Verizon Communications voluntarily agreeing to port out a number associated with a rate center different from the customer's physical location. Both Verizon Communications and Verizon Wireless, in an *ex parte* presentation made to the Commission on August 20, 2003, stated that, while there is nothing in the existing rules limiting such number portability, such a porting arrangement would "cause a lack of symmetry, which is inconsistent with the goals of number portability." Verizon jointly continued by stating that:

[t]he existing rules do not require a LEC to port *in* a wireless number that is associated with a rate center different from the customer's physical location.

Porting in these numbers would cause calls that are physically local to be rated as toll ¹³

Certainly, if porting in a number could cause these problems, numbers ported out of rate centers would be subject to the same rating problems.

As these LNP requests, both described above and by the Petitioners, are of a generic nature¹⁴, the Commission may reasonably assume that the majority of rural carriers in the nation have received similar, if not the same, requests. The Commission should reject this obvious attempt by the wireless industry to mold regulations to their benefit, by granting the waiver sought by the Petitioners and extending that waiver to rural telephone companies.

¹¹ "New Rule Rattles Cellphone Industry," <u>USA Today</u>, October 17, 2003, p. 2B (emphasis added).

¹² See Letter to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, from Marie Breslin, Assistance Vice President, Federal Regulatory Advocacy, Verizon Communications, *Ex Parte* Presentation, CC Docket No. 95-116, dated August 21, 2003, Attachment entitled "Verizon Wireless Number Portability Issues" ("Joint Verizon *ex parte*").

¹³ *Id.*, (emphasis added).

¹⁴ T-Mobile's letter, like Sprint PCS' requests referenced by the Petitioners, was addressed "To Whom It May Concern." Attachment A, p.1.

III. The standard for waiver of Commission rules, met by the Petitioners, is effortlessly met by the majority of rural carriers.

As the Petitioners note, "[a]pplication of the requirement to implement number portability by the WLNP Deadline would impose a requirement that is unduly economically burdensome." TCA respectfully submits that application of the LNP rules, in light of the current regulatory uncertainty, would impose an unreasonable economic burden on all rural carriers and their customers. On average, rural carriers serve approximately 13 customers per square mile and 1,200 customers per switch. From the instant Petitions, the Commission can gage the cost of upgrading equipment faced by most RLECs. The Commission must also consider the ongoing costs of providing LNP. These continuing costs would be spread across a small customer base, with little (if any) consumer benefit.

The economic burden becomes even more unreasonable when the current regulatory uncertainty is considered. As noted above, the Commission is currently considering significant issues regarding wireline-wireless implementation. It cannot be expected that RLECs and their customers should incur what could be, dependent on the ultimate outcome, needless costs. Even with an Order issued by the Commission before the deadline, regulatory certainty in this thorny issue is not easily obtained. The Commission is well aware of the long standing and, at times, vehement opposition of the wireless industry to LNP. As late as September 23, 2003, CTIA acknowledged its "deep misgivings about the comparative costs and benefits of wireless LNP." Subjecting RLECs and their customers to a heavy economic burden in light of regulatory uncertainty is unreasonable. By granting the waiver sought by the Petitioners and extending it to all rural telephone companies, the Commission could mitigate the burden otherwise imposed.

IV. Conclusion

The Petitioners have well proven that they deserve of a waiver of the LNP rules. Indeed, the circumstances that the Petitioners find themselves in are not unique but easily discovered to

¹⁵ Franklin Petition, p. 6.

¹⁶ The Rural Difference, Rural Task Force, White Paper 2, January 2000, http://www.wutc.wa.gov/rtf/rtfpub.nsf/
pp. 8 and 11.

¹⁷ See Letter to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, from Michael F. Altschul, Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association, CC Docket No. 95-116, dated September 23, 2003, p. 4.

affect all rural carriers. The Commission should grant the Petitioners' requests and exempt *all* rural telephone companies from the LNP rules until regulatory certainty in this issue is achieved.

Respectfully submitted,

[electronically filed]

TCA, Inc.-Telcom Consulting Associates 1465 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 (719) 266-4334

October 17, 2003

ATTACHMENT A

··· T·· Mobile ·

12920 SE 38th Street, Bellevue, WA 98006

February 21, 2003

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed please find T-Mobile USA, Inc.'s ("T-Mobile") Bona Fide Request ("BFR") Form for local number portability as required by the Federal Communications Commission in CC Docket 95-116. Please fill out the form where indicated and return a copy to T-Mobile by March 7, 2003. Once the completed BFR is returned, T-Mobile will begin negotiations on the local number portability operations agreements.

Please contact me if you have any further issues regarding this request.

Sincerely,

Shannon Reilly Corporate Counsel – Regulatory Affairs (425) 378-5178 shannon.reilly@t-mobile.com

Enclosure

T - Mobile-

BONA FIDE REQUEST FORM (BFR)

T-Mobile USA, inc. ("T-Mobile") requests deployment of long-term Local Number Portability as defined in the FCC mandates (CC Docket 95-116). Specifically, T-Mobile requests that ALL codes be opened for portability within the Metropolitan Statistical Areas designated below.

Actions required of the Recipient:

- 1. Within 10 days of receipt, provide confirmation to T-Mobile that this form has been received.
- 2. For all currently released code, and those to be released at any future time, within the areas requested below, open all for porting in the LERG.
- 3. For all currently released code, and those to be released at any future time, within the areas requested below, open all for porting in the NPAC (Number Portability Administration Center).
- 4. Ensure that all switches handling codes with the designated MSAs are Local Number Portability Capable.

TO:		FROM:	T-Mobile USA, Inc.
Contact Name:		Contact Name:	Shannon Reilly
Address:		Address:	12920 SE 38th St.
			Bellevue, WA 98006
		Email:	shannon.reilly@T-Mobile.com
Email:		Fax:	425-378-4840
Fax:		Phone:	425-378-5178
Phone:			
Date of Request:	February 24, 2003		
Confirmation Due:	March 7, 2003		
Effective Date:	November 24, 2003		

MSA Number	MSA Name	State	Date LNP Capable	CLLI Code of Switch Serving MSA
1	New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island	NY,NJ,CT, PA		
2	Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County	CA		
3	ChicagoGaryKenosha	IL,IN,WI,WV		
4	Washington-Baltimore	DC,MD,VA,WV		
5	San FranciscoOaklandSan Jose	CA		
6	Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City	PA,NJ,DE,MD		
7	Boston-Worcester-Lawrence	MA,NH.ME,CT		
8	Betroit-Ann Arbor-Flint	MI		
9	Dallas-Fort Worth	TX		
10	HoustonGalveston-Brazoria	TX		·
	Atlante	GA	•	
12	MlamiFort Lauderdele	FL		
13	Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton	WA		
14	Phoenix-Mesa	AZ		
15	5Minneapolls-St. Paul	MN,WI		
16	BClevelandAkron	ОН		

42000 Diama	CA	1	T
17 San Diego	CA		
18 St. Louis	MO		
19 Denver-Boulder-Greeley			
20 San Juan-Caguas-Arecibo	PR		
21 TampaSt, Petersburg-Clearwater	FL		
22 Pittsburgh	PA,NJ,DE,MD		
23 Portland-Salem	OR,WA		
24 Cincinnati-Hamilton	OH,KY,IN		
25 Sacramento-Yolo	CA		
26 Kansas City	мо,кв		
27Milwaukee-Racine	WI		
28 Orlando	FL		
29 Indianapolis	IN		
30 San Antonio	TX		
31 Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport News	VA,NC		
32 Las Vegas	NV,AZ		
33 Columbus	ОН		
34 CharlotteGastoniaRock Hill	NC,SC		
35 New Orleans	LA		
36 Salt Lake CityOgden	UT		
37 Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point	NC,SC		
38 Austin-San Marcos	TX		
39 Nashville	TN		
40 Providence-Fall River-Warwick	RI,MA		
41 Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill	NC		
42 Hartford	СТ		
43 Buffalo-Niagara Falls	NY		
44 Memphis	TN,AR,MS		
45 West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	FL -:		
46 Jacksonville	FL.		
47 Rochester	NY		
48 Grand Rapids—Muskegon—Holland	MI		
49 Oklahoma City	ок		
50 Louisville	KY,IN		
51 RichmondPetersburg	VA,NC		
52 Greenville-Spartanburg-Anderson	sc		
53 Dayton-Springfield	он		
54 Fresno	CA		
55Birmingham	AL		
56 Honolulu	н		·
57 Albany-Schenectady-Troy	NY		
58 Tucson	AZ		
			
59 Tulsa	OK		
60 Syracuse	NY	 	
61 Omaha	NE,IA		
62 Albuquerque	NM		
63 Knoxville	TN		

64	El Paso	тх	
68	Bakersfield	CA	
66	Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton	PA	
67	Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle	PA	
68	Scranton-Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton	PA	
69	Toledo	ОН	
70	Baton Rouge	LA	
71	Youngstown-Warren	ОН	
72	Springfield	MA,NH.ME,CT	
73	SarasotaBradenton	FL	
74	Little Rock-North Little Rock	AR	
· 75	McAllenEdinburgMission	TX	
76	Stockton-Lodi	CA	
77	CharlestonNorth Charleston	SC	
	Wichita	KS	
79	Mobile	AL	
80	Columbia	SC	
81	Colorado Springs	co	
82	Fort Wayne	IN	
83	Daytona Beach	FL	
84	LakelandWinter Haven	FL	
85	Johnson City-Kingsport-Bristol	אד	
	Lexington	KY,IN	
87	AugustaAiken	GA,SC	
88	MelboumeTitusvillePalm Bay	FL	
89	Lancaster	PA	
90	Chattanooga	TN,GA	
91	Des Moines	IA	
92	KalamazooBattle Creek	MI	
93	Lansing-East Lansing	MI	
94	Modesto	CA	
95	Fort Myers-Cape Coral	FL	
96	Jackson	MS	
	Boise City	ID.	
		WI	
		WA	
	<u> </u>	FL	•

Designated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) to be Opened for Porting May 24, 2004:							
MSA NAME	State	Date LNP Capable	CLLI Code of Switch Serving MSA	MSA NAME	State	Date LNP Capable	CLLI Code of Switch Serving MSA
Bethel	AK			Garfield	СО		
Anniston	AL			Kiowa	CO		·
Cleburne	AL ·			Logan	co		
Dothan	AL			Moffat	co		
Florence	AL			Park	co		
Franklin	AL			Pueblo	co		
Gadsden	AL			Saguache	co		
Huntsville	AL			San Miguel	СО		
Jackson	AL			Bridgeport	СТ		
Lee	AL			New Haven	СТ		
Montgomery	AL			Windham	СТ		
Tuscaloosa	AL			Kent	DE		
	AL			Calhoun	FL		
	AR			Citrus	FL		
	AR			Collier	FL.		
	AR			Dixie	FL		
	AR				FL		
	AR			Gainesville	FL	····	
	AZ	-		Glades	FL		
	AZ			Hamilton	FL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	AZ			Hardee	FL		
	AZ			Jefferson	FL		
	AZ			Lakeland	FL		
	AZ			Monroe	FL		
	CA			Ocala	FL		
	CA			Panama City	FL		
	CA			Putnam	FL		
	CA			Tallahassee	FL		
	CA				FL		
	CA			Walton	FL		
	ÇA			Albany	GA		
	CA			Athens	GA		
	CA			Bleckley	GA		
	CA			Chattooga	GA		
	CA			Columbus	GA		
	CA			Dawson	GA		
San Luis	CA				GA GA		
	CA			Earty Hancock	GA		
	CA			Heralson	GA GA		
	CA			Jasper	GA GA		
Sierra Tohomo				Liberty	GA GA		
	CA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	GA GA		
	CA CA			Macon Marion	GA GA		

Costilia	co		Savannah	GA		
Elbert	co		Spalding	GA		
Fort Collins,			- Paidinia			
Lovind	co		Toombs	GA		
Warren	GA		Kosciusko	IN		
Whitfield	GA		Lafayette	IN		
Worth	GA		Mlami	IN		
Hawaii	HI		Muncle	IN		
Kauai	Н		Newton	IN		
Maul	HI		Owen	IN		
Audubon	IA		Randolph	IN		
Cedar Rapids	IA		South Bend	IN		
Dubuque	IA		Terre Haute	IN		
Humboldt	IA		Warren	IN		
lda	IA		Brown	KS		
lowa City	iA		Elk	KS		
Jackson	IA		Franklin	KS		
Lyon	IA		Lawrence	KS		
Mills	IA		Topeka	KS		
Мопопа	IA		Clay	KY		
Muscatine	IA		Fulton	KY		
Union	IA		Mason	KY		
Winneshlek	IA		Owensboro	KY		
Davenport	IA, IL		Powell	KY		
Sioux City	IA, NE		Trimble	KY_		•
Boundary	ID		Union	KY_		
Boundary	ID		Alexandria	LA		
Butte	ID		Beauregard	LA		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Clark	ID		Caldwell	LA_		
Elmore	ID		Claiborne	LA		
Idaho	ID		De Soto	LA		·
Lemhi	ID		lberville	LA		
Adams	IL		Lafayette	LA		
Bureau	1L		Lake Charles	LA		
Clay	IL		Monroe	LA		
Decatur	IL		Morehouse	LA		
Jo Daviess	IL	•	Plaquemines	LA		
Joliet	IL		Shreveport	LA		
Kankakee	(L		St. James	LA		
Mason	IL.		West Feliciana	LA		
Mercer	IL.		Bamstable	MA		
Montgomery	IL		New Bedford	MA		
Peoria	IL.		Pittsfield	MA		
Rockford	iL		Frederick	MD		
Springfield	iL.		Kent	MD		
Vermilion	IL		Oxford	ME		
Washington	IL		Portiand	ME		
Anderson	IN		Alger	MI		
Bloomington	IN		Benton Harbor	MI	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

						
	IN		Cass	MI		
	IN		Cheboygan	MI		
Evansville	IN	· ·	Gogebic	MI		
Huntington	IN		Muskegon	MI		
Kokomo	IN		Newaygo	MI		
Roscommon	MI		Northampton	NC		
Tuscola	MI		Pitt	NÇ		
Duluth	MN		Yancey	NC		
Goodhue	MN		Barnes	D D		
Lake	MN		Bismarck	3		
Barton	MO		Bottineau	D		
Bates	МО		Divide	ND		
Benton	МО		Grand Forks	ND		
Callaway	MO		Kidder	ND		
De Kalb	МО		McKenzie	ND		
Harrison	MO		Carroli	NH		
Joplin	МО		Coos	NH		
	МО		Atlantic City	Z		
7	МО		Hunterdon	Ŋ		
	мо		Long Branch	NJ		
	МО		New Brunswick	NJ		
	MO .		Ocean	NJ		
	MO		Sussex	NJ		
	МО		Trenton	NJ		
	MO		Catron	NM		
	MS		Colfex	NM		
Biloxi, Gulfport			Grant	NM		
	MS		Las Cruces	NM		
	MS		Lincoln	NM		
	MS		San Juan	NM		
	MS		Santa Fe	NM		
	MS		Humboldt	NV		
	MS		Lander	NV		
Tunica	MS		Mineral	NV		
Yalobusha	MS		Reno	NV		
Beaverhead	MS		Storey	NV_		
	мт		White Pine	NV		
	MT		Binghamton	NY		
	МТ		Chautauqua	NY		
	MT		Columbia	NY		
	MT		Elmira	NY	•	
	MT		Franklin	NY		
	MT		Glens Falls	NY		
	MT		Jefferson	NY		
	MT		Orange County	NY		
Toole	MT		Otsego	NY		
	1 1		L	L	1	1
Anson	NC		Poughkeepsle	NY		<u> </u>

Burlington	NC		Ashtabula	ОН		
Cabarrus	NC		Canton	ОН		
Camden	NC		Clinton	ОН	 	<u> </u>
Cherokes	NC		Dayton	ОН		
Fayetteville	NC		Hancock	ОН		
Henderson	NC		Lima	ОН		<u> </u>
Hickory	NC		Mansfield	ОН		
Mercer	ОН		Laurens	sc		
Marrow	ОН		Oconee	sc		
Ross	ОН		Corson	SD		
Sandusky	ОН		Custer	SD		
Williams	ОН		Haakon	SD		
Cimarron	ОК		Hanson	SD		
Enid	ОК		Harding	SD		
Garvin	ОК		Kingsbury	SD		
Grant	ОК		McPherson	SD		
Harper	ок		Rapid City	SD		
Haskeil	ОК		Sioux Falis	SD		
Jackson	ок		Sully	SD		
Lawton	ок		Bledsoe	TN		
Nowata	ок		Cannon	TN		
Clatsop	OR		Fayette	TN		
Coos	OR		Giles	TN		
Crook	OR		Hamblen	TN		
Eugene, Springfleld	OR		Lake	TN		
Hood River	OR		Macon	TN		
Lincoln	OR		Maury	TN		
				TN,		
Medford	OR		Clarksville	KY		
<u>Umatilla</u>	OR		Abilene	TX		
Aitoona	PA		Amarillo	TX		
Bedford Beadford	PA		Atascosa	TX		
Bradford Crawford	PA		Beaumont	TX		
Crawford	PA		Briscoe	TX		
Erle O	PA		Brownsville	TX		
Greene	PA		Burieson	TX		
Huntington	PA		Chambers	TX		
Jefferson	PA		Cherokee	TX		
Johnstown	PA		Concho	TX		
McKean Northoget	PA		Corpus Christi	TX	,	
Northeast Pottor	PA		Dallam	TX		
Potter Booding	PA		Edwards	TX		
Reading	PA		Fannin	TX		•
Sharon	PA		Gaines	TX		
	PA		Galveston	TX		
Union	PA		Hansford	TX		
Williamsport	PA		Hardeman	TX		
York	PA	L.	Hudspeth	TΧ		

	 		
Newport	RI	Jack	тх
Calhour	sc	Killeen, Temple	тх
Cherokes	sc	Laredo	TX
Chesterfield	sc	Longview, Marsall	TX
Clarendon	sc	Loving	rx
Florence	sc	Lubbock	TX
Georgetown	sc	Midland	
Hampton	sc		TX
	sc	Navarro	TX
Lancaster	TX	Newton	TX
Odessa		La Crosse	WI
Parmer	TX	Marinette	WI
Reeves	TX	Pierce	WI
Runnels	TX	Sheboygan	WI
San Angelo	TX	Trempealeau	WI
Sherman, Denison	TX	Va	
		Vernon	WI
Texarkana	TX	Vilas	WI
Tyler	TX	Wausau	WI
Victoria	TX	Wood	WI
Waco	TX	Grant	WY
Wichita Falls	TX	Mason	WY
Wilson	TX	Wetzel	WY
Beaver	UT	Casper	WY
Box Elder	<u>ur</u>	Converse	WY
Carbon	UT	Lincoln	WY_
Juab	UT	Nlobrara	WY
Morgan	UT	Park	WY
Piute	UT	Sheridan	WY
		Santa Rosa,	
Amelia	VA	Petaluma	CA
Bath	VA	Visalia, Tulare	CA
Bedford	VA	New London	СТ
Buckingham	VA	Waterloo, Cedar Falls	IA .
		Aurora, Elgin	jL
Carolina	VA	Bloomington,	<u> </u> -
Danville	VA	Nomal	16
		Champaign,	
	VA	Urbana	IL .
Giles	VA	Elkhart, Goshen	IN
Greensville	VA	Houma, Thibodaux	LA
Highland	VA	Lewiston, Auburn	ME
		Saginaw, Bay,	
Lee	VA	Midland	MI ND,
Madison	VA	Fargo, Moorhead	MN
		Manchester,	
Roanoke	VA	Nashua	NH
Tazewell	VA	Vineland, Millville	NJ
Addison	VT	Utica, Rome	NY
Burlington	VT	Lorain, Elyria	ОН

Franklin	Vī	Staubenville, Welrton	ОН	
Bellingham	WA	Bryan, College St	TX	
Bremerton	WA	Provo, Orem	UT	·
Claliam	WA	Richland, Kennewick	WA	
Ferry	WA	Janesville, Beloit	WI	
Grays Harbor	WA	Appleton	WI	
Kittitas	WA	Columbia	WI	
Okanogan	WA	Door	WI	
Olympia	WA	Green Bay	WI	
<u>Whitman</u>	WA	Kenosha	WI	
Yakima	WA			